

COLLECTANEA MATHEMATICA

Editors

José I. Burgos

Rosa M. Miró-Roig (Managing Editor)

Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà

Scientific Committee

Luis A. Caffarelli

Wojciech Chachólski

Ciro Ciliberto

Simon K. Donaldson

Gerhard Frey

Ronald L. Graham

Craig Huneke

Nigel J. Kalton

Rafael de la Llave

Alexander Nagel

Marta Sanz-Solé

Kristian Seip

Bernd Sturmfels

Christoph Thiele

Frank Wagner

Guido Weiss

Efim Zelmanov

Enrique Zuazua



UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA



Volume LX

Issue 1(2009)

EDITORS

José I. Burgos

Dept. Àlgebra i Geometria
Universitat de Barcelona
08071 Barcelona, SPAIN

Rosa M. Miró-Roig (Managing Editor)

Dept. Àlgebra i Geometria
Universitat de Barcelona
08071 Barcelona, SPAIN

Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà

Dept. Mat. Aplicada i Anàlisi
Universitat de Barcelona
08071 Barcelona, SPAIN

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Luis A. Caffarelli

Department of Mathematics
University of Texas
Austin, TX 78712, USA

Wojciech Chachólski

Department of Mathematics
Kungliga Tekniska högskolan
Stockholm 10044, SWEDEN

Ciro Ciliberto

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Roma II
00133 Roma, ITALY

Simon K. Donaldson

Mathematics Department
Huxley Building, Imp. College
London, SW7 2BZ, UK

Gerhard Frey

Inst. Exper. Mathematik
U. Gesamthochschule Essen
D-45326 Essen, GERMANY

Ronald L. Graham

Dpt. Comp. Sc. Engineering
University California San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0114, USA

Craig Huneke

Department of Mathematics
University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA

Nigel J. Kalton

Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri
Columbia, MO 65211, USA

Rafael de la Llave

Department of Mathematics
University of Texas
Austin, TX 78712, USA

Alexander Nagel

Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53705-1388, USA

Marta Sanz-Solé

Dept. Prob. Lògica i Estadística
Universitat de Barcelona
08071 Barcelona, SPAIN

Kristian Seip

Dept. of Mathematical Sciences
NTNU
7491 Trondheim, NORWAY

Bernd Sturmfels

Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, Calif. 94720, USA

Christoph Thiele

Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90055-1555, USA

Frank Wagner

Institut Camille Jordan
Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1
69622 Villeurbanne cedex, FRANCE

Guido Weiss

Department of Mathematics
Washington University
St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

Efim Zelmanov

Department of Mathematics
University of California
San Diego, CA 92093-0112, USA

Enrique Zuazua

Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
28049 Madrid, SPAIN

Differences of weighted composition operators

ELKE WOLF

Mathematical Institute, University of Paderborn, D-33095 Paderborn, Germany

E-mail: lichte@math.uni-paderborn.de

Received October 17, 2007. Revised May 9, 2008.

ABSTRACT

We consider differences of weighted composition operators between given weighted Bergman spaces H_v^∞ of infinite order and characterize boundedness and the essential norm of these differences.

1. Introduction

Let v, w be strictly positive bounded continuous functions (*weights*) on the open unit disk D of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We define the weighted Bergman space of infinite order as follows

$$H_v^\infty := \{f \in H(D); \|f\|_v := \sup_{z \in D} v(z)|f(z)| < \infty\},$$

where $H(D)$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions. Endowed with norm $\|\cdot\|_v$, the space H_v^∞ is a Banach space. Such spaces have been studied by various authors while investigating growth conditions of analytic functions. As an assortment of papers on this topic we would like to mention [18, 20, 21, 11, 2, 13, 14, 9, 3].

Furthermore we consider analytic self maps ϕ_1, ϕ_2 of D as well as analytic functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. These maps induce weighted composition operators

$$C_{\phi_i, \psi_i} : H(D) \rightarrow H(D), \quad f \mapsto \psi_i(f \circ \phi_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Composition operators and weighted composition operators have been investigated on various spaces and by several authors, see e.g. [5, 4, 7, 15, 16, 17, 6, 12]. We are interested in differences $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}$ of weighted composition operators acting

Keywords: Weighted composition operators, essential norm, weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order.

MSC2000: 47B33, 47B38.

between weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order. In [12] we studied the essential norm of such differences in case of quite special weights. The aim of this article is to characterize boundedness and the essential norm of differences $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}$ under more general assumptions on the weights. The estimates we obtain in this note differ from the conditions given in [12]. Otherwise for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$ we get exactly the result of [6].

II. Notations, definitions and auxiliary results

For notation and general information on composition operators see the excellent monographs [8, 19]. Let us denote the closed unit ball of H_v^∞ by B_v^∞ . When dealing with weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order an important tool is the so called *associated weight* introduced by Anderson and Duncan in [1] and thoroughly studied by Bierstedt, Bonet and Taskinen in [3]. For a weight v the associated weight $\tilde{v}$ is given by

$$\tilde{v}(z) := \frac{1}{\sup \{|f(z)|; f \in B_v^\infty\}} = \frac{1}{\|\delta_z\|_{H_v^{\infty'}}}, \quad z \in D,$$

where δ_z denotes the point evaluation of z . By [3] the associated weights have the following properties:

- (i) $\tilde{v}$ is continuous,
- (ii) $\tilde{v} \geq v > 0$,
- (iii) for every $z \in D$ we can find $f_z \in B_v^\infty$ such that $f_z(z) = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(z)}$.

A weight v is called *essential* if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$v(z) \leq \tilde{v}(z) \leq Cv(z) \quad \text{for every } z \in D.$$

Examples of essential weights as well as conditions when weights are essential may be found in [3, 4, 5]. We are especially interested in *radial* weights, i.e. weights which satisfy $v(z) = v(|z|)$ for every $z \in D$. If a radial weight v satisfies the Lusky condition (L1) (due to Lusky [13])

$$(L1) \quad \inf_k \frac{v(1 - 2^{-k-1})}{v(1 - 2^{-k})} > 0,$$

then v is essential.

We say that a weight v is *typical*, if it is radial, non-increasing with respect to $|z|$ and $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} v(z) = 0$. In the sequel every radial weight is assumed to be non-increasing. In order to treat differences of composition operators we need some geometric data. Recall that for any $z \in D$, φ_z is the Möbius transformation of D which interchanges the origin and z , namely, $\varphi_z(w) = \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{z}w}$, $w \in D$. The *pseudohyperbolic distance* $\rho(z, w)$ for $z, w \in D$ is defined by $\rho(z, w) = |\varphi_z(w)| = \left| \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{z}w} \right|$.

An operator $T \in L(E, F)$ from a Banach space E to the Banach space F is called *compact* if it maps the closed unit ball of E onto a relatively compact set in F . The essential norm of a continuous linear operator T is defined by $\|T\|_e := \inf \{\|T - K\|; K \text{ is compact}\}$, i.e. the essential norm is the distance to the compact operators. Finally, let us list up some auxiliary results we need for proving our results.

Lemma 1 (Bonet, Lindström, Wolf, [6])

Let v be a radial weight satisfying the Lusky condition (L1) and let $f \in H_v^\infty$. Then there exists a constant C_v (depending on the weight v) such that

$$|f(z) - f(p)| \leq C_v \|f\|_v \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(z)}, \frac{1}{v(p)} \right\} \rho(z, p)$$

for all $z, p \in D$.

Theorem 2 (Contreras, Hernández-Díaz, [7])

Let v and w be weights and $\phi : D \rightarrow D$ and $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic. Then the operator $C_{\phi, \psi} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ is bounded if and only if $\sup_{z \in D} |\psi(z)| \frac{w(z)}{\bar{v}(\phi(z))} < \infty$.

III. Continuity and essential norm of differences of weighted composition operators

First, recall that the operator $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in D} w(z) \sup \{ |\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))|; f \in B_v^\infty \} < \infty.$$

Proposition 3

Let v and w be weights such that v is radial and satisfies (L1). Then $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ is bounded if and only if

- (i) $\sup_{z \in D} w(z) \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} < \infty$,
- (ii) $\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| w(z) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} < \infty$.

Proof. We suppose that the operator $C_{\phi_1} - C_{\phi_2}$ is bounded, i.e.

$$M = \sup_{z \in D} w(z) \sup \{ |\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))|; f \in B_v^\infty \} < \infty.$$

Let us start with proving condition (i) indirectly. W.l.o.g. we can find a sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$ such that

$$w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \geq n.$$

We fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and distinguish the following cases:

First, we assume $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$. Then there is a function $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ such that $|f_n(\phi_1(z_n))| = \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$. Next, we put $h_n(z) := f_n(z)\varphi_{\phi_2(z_n)}(z)$ for every $z \in D$. Obviously h_n belongs to B_v^∞ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - \psi_2(z_n) h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &\geq w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n)| |f_n(\phi_1(z_n))| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &\geq w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \left| \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} \right| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &\geq n. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we suppose $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$. Choosing $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ such that $|f_n(\phi_2(z_n))| = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$ we now set $h_n(z) := f_n(z)\varphi_{\phi_1(z_n)}(z)$ for every $z \in D$ and get analogously to the previous case

$$M \geq w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \geq n.$$

Joining both cases, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \\ &\geq n, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

It remains to show (ii). Fix $z \in D$ and consider the following cases:

First, we suppose $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_1(z)|$ and select $f_z \in B_v^\infty$ such that $|f_z(\phi_2(z))| \tilde{v}(\phi_2(z)) = 1$. Hence an application of Lemma 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} &= w(z) |\psi_2(z) - \psi_1(z)| |f_z(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\leq w(z) |\psi_2(z) f_z(\phi_2(z)) - \psi_1(z) f_z(\phi_1(z))| \\ &\quad + w(z) |\psi_1(z) f_z(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_1(z) f_z(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\leq M + w(z) |\psi_1(z)| |f_z(\phi_2(z)) - f_z(\phi_1(z))| \\ &\leq M + C_v w(z) \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\ &\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, let $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_2(z)|$. Choose $f_z \in B_v^\infty$ with $|f_z(\phi_1(z))| \tilde{v}(\phi_1(z)) = 1$. Proceeding as in the previous case we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))} &\leq M + C_v w(z) \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\ &\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Joining both cases and using (i), we can deduce condition (ii).

For the converse fix $f \in B_v^\infty$ and $z \in D$ and distinguish the following cases:

If $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_1(z)|$, using Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))| &\leq |\psi_1(z)| |f(\phi_1(z)) - f(\phi_2(z))| \\
&\quad + |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| |f(\phi_2(z))| \\
&\leq C_v |\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\
&\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\
&\quad + |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \\
&\leq C_v \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\
&\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\
&\quad + |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In case $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_2(z)|$ we proceed analogously to get

$$\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))| < \infty.$$

From this we conclude that the operator is bounded if the above conditions are satisfied. $\square$

Next, we give an example of non-bounded operators C_{ϕ_1, ψ_1} and C_{ϕ_2, ψ_2} such that the difference is bounded.

EXAMPLE 4 Choose $w(z) = v(z) = 1 - |z| = \tilde{v}(z)$, $\phi_1(z) = \frac{z+1}{2}$ and $\phi_2(z) = \frac{z+1}{2} + t(z-1)^3$ for every $z \in D$ such that t is real and $|t|$ so small that ϕ_2 maps D into D as well as $\psi_1(z) = \psi_2(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ for every $z \in D$. Obviously v and w are radial weights and v has condition (L1). Moreover the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 belong to the space H_w^∞ . By [7] Proposition 3.1 C_{ϕ_1, ψ_1} is not bounded since for $z = r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|\psi_1(r)| \frac{w(r)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(r))} = \frac{2}{1-r} \rightarrow \infty$ if $r \rightarrow 1$. Analogously we can show that C_{ϕ_2, ψ_2} is not bounded. By [15] Example 1 we know $\rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \leq \frac{|t|}{\delta} |z-1| < \infty$, where δ is a constant. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z)| \frac{w(z)}{v(\phi_1(z))} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) &\leq \sup_{z \in D} \frac{1}{|1-z|} \frac{1-|z|}{1-|\frac{z+1}{2}|} \frac{|t|}{\delta} |z-1| < \infty \\
\text{and } \sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{w(z)}{v(\phi_1(z))} &= 0 \text{ as well as} \\
\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z)| \frac{w(z)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) &\leq \sup_{z \in D} \frac{1}{|1-z|} \frac{1-|z|}{1-|\frac{z+1}{2} + t(z-1)^3|} \frac{|t|}{\delta} |z-1| < \infty \\
\text{and } \sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{w(z)}{v(\phi_2(z))} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the corresponding difference $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}$ is bounded.

Theorem 5

Let v and w be radial weights such that v is typical and satisfies the Lusky condition (L1). Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H_w^\infty$ such that there are constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha \leq |\frac{\psi_1(z)}{\psi_2(z)}| \leq \beta$ for every $z \in D$. If $\phi_1, \phi_2 : D \rightarrow D$ are analytic maps such that $\|\phi_1\|_\infty = \|\phi_2\|_\infty = 1$ and $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1}, C_{\phi_2, \psi_2} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ both are bounded, then the essential norm $\|C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}\|_e$ is equivalent to the maximum of the following expressions:

- (i) $\limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\},$
- (ii) $\limsup_{|\phi_2(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |\psi_2(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\},$
- (iii) $\limsup_{\min\{|\phi_1(z)|, |\phi_2(z)|\} \rightarrow 1-} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}.$

Proof. First we want to prove that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $C \max\{(i), (ii), (iii)\} \leq \|C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}\|_e$. Let us start with considering (i).

(i) Let $(z_n)_n \in D$ be a sequence with $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_n |\psi_1(z_n)| w(z_n) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &= \limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1-} w(z) |\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$, since $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$, by going to a subsequence if necessary, we can use the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [10] to find functions $(g_n)_n \in H^\infty$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in D,$$

and $g_n(\phi_1(z_n)) > 1 - (\frac{1}{2})^n$ for every n . Then $\lim_n |g_n(\phi_1(z_n))| = 1$. In the sequel we want to explain roughly how to construct these functions following the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [10].

First we put $k(z) := \frac{z+1}{2}$ for every $z \in D$. Then k is holomorphic on D and continuous on $\bar{D}$ such that $k(1) = 1$ and $|k| < 1$ on $\bar{D} \setminus \{1\}$. Next we consider $u(z) := \frac{z-1}{2}$ as well as $u_n(z) := u(z)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for every $z \in D$. Each u_n is holomorphic on D and continuous on $\bar{D}$ such that $\|u_n\|_\infty = 1$, $u_n(1) = 0$ and $|u_n(z)| \rightarrow 1$ for every $z \in D$. By induction we can find two increasing sequences $(m_l)_l, (j_l)_l \subset \mathbb{N}$, a sequence $(c_l)_l$ of complex numbers with $|c_l| < 1$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subsequence $(\phi(z_l))_l$ of $(\phi(z_n))_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \bar{D}} \sum_{l=1}^N |(c_l k^{m_l} u_{j_l})(z)| < 1 \quad \text{for every } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ & c_N (k^{m_N} u_{j_N})(\phi(z_N)) > 1 - \frac{1}{2^N} \quad \text{for every } N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $g_N(z) := (c_N k^{m_N} u_{j_N})(z)$ for every $z \in D$ and for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the functions above. For more details we refer the reader to [10].

For every n we can also find $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ with $f_n(\phi_1(z_n)) = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$. Set $h_n(z) := g_n(z) \varphi_{\phi_2(z_n)}(z) f_n(z)$. Thus, $h_n \in H_v^\infty$ with $\|h_n\|_v \leq 1$. Since the standard basis $(e_n)_n$ for c_0 tends weakly to zero, so does $(h_n)_n$. Now let $K : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ be a compact operator. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Kh_n\|_w = 0$. For each n ,

$$\|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| \geq \|(\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2})h_n\|_w - \|Kh_n\|_w,$$

and thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| &\geq \limsup_n \|\psi_1(h_n \circ \phi_1) - \psi_2(h_n \circ \phi_2)\|_w \\ &\geq \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - \psi_2(z_n) h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &= \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n)| |g_n(\phi_1(z_n))| |\varphi_{\phi_2(z_n)}(\phi_1(z_n)) f_n(\phi_1(z_n))| \\ &= \limsup_n |\psi_1(z_n)| \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} \rho(\phi_2(z_n), \phi_1(z_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us assume $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$. If $|\phi_2(z_n)| \not\rightarrow 1$ there are only finitely many n for which this is the case, since v is typical and $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$. Then these n 's can be omitted and we have the first case. If $|\phi_2(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$, analogously to the previous case, choose functions $(g_n)_n \in H^\infty$ with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in D,$$

and $\lim_n |g_n(\phi_2(z_n))| = 1$. For every n we select $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ such that $f_n(\phi_2(z_n)) = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$ and set $h_n(z) := g_n(z) \varphi_{\phi_1(z_n)}(z) f_n(z)$. Proceeding in the same way as above and taking into account that by assumption $\alpha \leq \frac{|\psi_1(z_n)|}{|\psi_2(z_n)|} \leq \beta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| &\geq \limsup_n |\psi_2(z_n)| \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\beta} \limsup_n |\psi_2(z_n)| \frac{|\psi_1(z_n)|}{|\psi_2(z_n)|} \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \limsup_n |\psi_1(z_n)| \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Joining both cases we get (i).

(ii) follows in an analogous way.

(iii) If $\rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \rightarrow \sigma \neq 0$, when $|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1$ and $|\phi_2(z)| \rightarrow 1$, then

(iii) follows from (i) and (ii). Therefore we can assume that $\rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \rightarrow 0$ if $|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1$ and $|\phi_2(z)| \rightarrow 1$. Let $(z_n)_n$ be a sequence with $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$ and $|\phi_2(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) - \psi_2(z_n)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\min\{|\phi_1(z)|, |\phi_2(z)|\} \rightarrow 1} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$ we choose $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$ as in the first case in the proof of (i) and set $h_n(z) := g_n(z)f_n(z)$. Then $h_n \in B_v^\infty$ and $h_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in H_v^∞ . Take a compact operator $K : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$. Hence $\lim_n \|Kh_n\|_w = 0$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| &\geq \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - \psi_2(z_n) h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &\geq \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) - \psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n))| \\ &\quad - \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - h_n(\phi_2(z_n))|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\min \{|\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)|\} = |\psi_1(z_n)|$ take into account that by assumption $\frac{|\psi_1(z_n)|}{|\psi_2(z_n)|} \geq \alpha$. Using Lemma 1 and the boundedness of C_{ϕ_1, ψ_1} this yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n)| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\min \{|\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)|\} = |\psi_2(z_n)|$, then obviously

$$\limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| = 0.$$

Next we assume $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$ and show the claim completely analogously to the previous case.

We now prove that there is a constant $C^* > 0$ such that $\max\{(i), (ii), (iii)\} \leq C^* \|C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}\|_e$. Take the sequence of linear operators $C_k : H(D) \rightarrow H(D)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by $C_k f(z) = f(\frac{k}{k+1}z)$, which are continuous for the compact open topology and $C_k f \rightarrow f$ uniformly on every compact subset of D and the operators $C_k : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_v^\infty$ are well-defined and compact with $\|C_k\| \leq 1$.

For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have,

$$\|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2}\|_e \leq \|(\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2})(Id - C_k)\|.$$

Let $f \in B_v^\infty$ and fix an arbitrary $r \in (0, 1)$. Set $g_k := (Id - C_k)f$, so $g_k \in H_v^\infty$ and $\|g_k\|_v \leq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2}\|_e &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \|(\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2})g_k\|_w \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{\{z; |\phi_1(z)| > r\}} w(z) |\psi_1(z) g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z) g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{\{z; |\phi_2(z)| > r\}} w(z) |\psi_1(z) g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z) g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{\{z; |\phi_1(z)| \leq r, |\phi_2(z)| \leq r\}} w(z) |\psi_1(z) g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z) g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ &=: I_{k,r} + J_{k,r} + L_{k,r}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the first term $I_{k,r}$, for $z \in D$ with $|\phi_1(z)| > r$ we use Lemma 1 as in the proof of Theorem 2 to get,

$$\begin{aligned} & w(z)|\psi_1(z)g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ & \leq w(z)|\psi_1(z)| |g_k(\phi_1(z)) - g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ & \quad + w(z)|\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| |g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ & \leq 2C_v|\psi_1(z)| w(z)\rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\ & \quad + w(z)|\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| |g_k(\phi_2(z))|. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we can estimate the term $J_{k,r}$.

The sequence of operators $(Id - C_k)_k$ satisfies $\lim_k (Id - C_k)g = 0$ for each g in $H(D)$, and the space $H(D)$ endowed with the compact open topology co is a Fréchet space. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, $(Id - C_k)_k$ converges to zero uniformly on the compact subsets of $(H(D), co)$. Since the closed unit ball of H_v^∞ is a compact subset of $(H(D), co)$ we conclude that

$$\lim_k \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{|\xi| \leq r} |(Id - C_k)f(\xi)| = 0.$$

If $|\phi_2(z)| \leq r$ in the term $I_{k,r}$, then we conclude that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_k I_{k,r} \leq 2 \limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1} w(z) \frac{|\psi_1(z)|}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)).$$

In the case $|\phi_2(z)| > r$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_k I_{k,r} & \leq 2 \limsup_{\min\{|\phi_1(z)|, |\phi_2(z)|\} \rightarrow 1} w(z)|\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\ & \quad + 2 \limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1} w(z)|\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we consider the cases $|\phi_1(z)| \leq r$ and $|\phi_1(z)| > r$ in the term $J_{k,r}$.

Since $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H_w^\infty$, we have that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_k L_{k,r} = 0$, and the statement follows. $\square$

References

1. J.M. Anderson and J. Duncan, Duals of Banach spaces of entire functions, *Glasgow Math. J.* **32** (1990), 215–220.
2. K.D. Bierstedt and W.H. Summers, Biduals of weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **54** (1993), 70–79.
3. K.D. Bierstedt, J. Bonet, and J. Taskinen, Associated weights and spaces of holomorphic functions, *Studia Math.* **127** (1998), 137–168.
4. J. Bonet, P. Domański, and M. Lindström, Essential norm and weak compactness of composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **42**, (1999), 139–148.

5. J. Bonet, P. Domański, M. Lindström, and J. Taskinen, Composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **64** (1998), 101–118.
6. J. Bonet, M. Lindström, and E. Wolf, Differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* to appear.
7. M.D. Contreras and A.G. Hernández-Díaz, Weighted composition operators in weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **69** (2000), 41–60.
8. C.C. Cowen and B.D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
9. A. Galbis, Weighted Banach spaces of entire functions, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **62** (1994), 58–64.
10. T. Hosokawa, K. Izuchi, and D. Zheng, Isolated points and essential components of composition operators on H^∞ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 1765–1773.
11. W. Kabbalo, Lifting-Probleme für H^∞ -Funktionen, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **34** (1980), 540–549.
12. M. Lindström and E. Wolf, Essential norm of differences of weighted composition operators, *Monatsh. Math.* **153** (2008), 133–143.
13. W. Lusky, On the structure of $H_{v_0}(D)$ and $h_{v_0}(D)$, *Math. Nachr.* **159** (1992), 279–289.
14. W. Lusky, On weighted spaces of harmonic and holomorphic functions, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **51** (1995), 309–320.
15. B. MacCluer, S. Ohno, and R. Zhao, Topological structure of the space of composition operators on H^∞ , *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **40** (2001), 481–494.
16. A. Montes-Rodríguez, Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **61** (2000), 872–884.
17. P. Nieminen, Compact differences of composition operators on Bloch and Lipschitz spaces, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **7** (2007), 325–344.
18. L.A. Rubel and A.L. Shields, The second duals of certain spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **11** (1970), 276–280.
19. J.H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
20. A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of harmonic functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **299/300** (1978), 256–279.
21. A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections and the growth of harmonic conjugates in the disc, *Michigan Math. J.* **29** (1982), 3–25.

Summary

Collect. Math. 60,1 (2009)

ISSN: 0010-0757

Elke Wolf

Differences of weighted composition operators

1–10

L. Robbiano

On border basis and Gröbner basis schemes

11–25

Alice Garbagnati and Flavia Repetto

A Geometrical approach to Gordan–Noether’s and Franchetta’s contributions to a question posed by Hesse

27–41

Jean-Pierre Rosay

Notes on the Diederich-Sukhov-Tumanov normalization for almost complex structures

43–62

Hannah Markwig and Josephine Yu

The space of tropically collinear points is shellable

63–77

S. Lalithambigai

Ball proximality of equable spaces

79–88

F. Cioffi, M.G. Marinari, and L. Ramella

Regularity bounds by minimal generators and Hilbert function

89–100

A. Eduardo Gatto

Boundedness on inhomogeneous Lipschitz spaces of fractional integrals singular integrals and hypersingular integrals associated to non-doubling measures

101–114

Y.Q. Yan

Characterization of matrix operators on Orlicz spaces

115–122

